***THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY***

**WRIT PETITION No.22069 of 2005**

**Dated 07-10-2005**

Between:

T. Padmanabham,

Kadapa District and others.

**…Petitioners**

and

The A.P. State Housing Corporation Ltd.,

Rep. by its Managing Director,

Hyderabad and another.

**…Respondents**

***THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY***

**WRIT PETITION No.22069 of 2005**

**ORDER:**

-

The petitioners are employed in various posts in the A.P. State Housing Corporation Limited. The District Manager/District Collector, Chittoor, the 2nd respondent herein issued proceedings to the effect that certain discrepancies, as to stock of cement worth Rs.1,63,750/- were noticed, and it is observed that, unless it is proved that the petitioners herein have not committed any irregularities, they shall not be permitted to join the duties of Corporation. The Managing Director, the 1st respondent, however, issued proceedings dated 11-02-2002, permitting the petitioners to report to duty. The grievance of the petitioners is that they were not paid salary during the periods from 08-11-2000 to 11-02-2002; 08-12-2000 to 11-02-2002 and 22-02-2001

to 11-02-2002, respectively. They claim to have made a representation dated 21-06-2004, in this regard, and complain that no action has been taken thereon.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Standing Counsel for the respondents.

A perusal of the record discloses that the petitioners were prevented from joining the duty in the Corporation on the ground that certain discrepancies in the stock of cement were noticed. No order of suspension was passed against them. It is not known as to whether any disciplinary proceedings were initiated against them, and if initiated, as to how they have ended up. In that view of the matter, the denial of salary for that period cannot be sustained in law. The petitioners have already made a representation, and the respondents are under obligation to pass appropriate orders, in relation to that period.

Hence, the writ petition is disposed of, directing the respondents to consider the representation of the petitioners dated 21-06-2004, and pass appropriate orders, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. There shall be no order as to costs.

---

**Dt.07-10-2005. L. NARASIMHA REDDY, J.**

KO